

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
"documentary" just
before the election,
guaranteeing that
there is
insufficient time
for effective
response,
demonstrates the
power of media
consolidation to
distort the intended
protections of the
First Amendment.
It is also a phoney
representation of
what constitutes the
time-tested
definition of
"documentary."
Therefore, as a
college professor
who teaches
undergraduate
students about the
responsibilities of
the FCC, I'd like an
in-depth defense
from you (or a
reference to such)
of the reasoning
behind what appears
on the surface to be
simply dirty
politics. The First
Amendment is under
attack here. I hope
that you can provide
materials to
convince me and my
students that the
FCC is acting in our
best interests in
this instance: right
now I doubt it.
Thank you.